



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

March 15, 2004

Ms. Carol Longoria
Public Information Coordinator
The University of Texas System
201 West 7th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2004-1956

Dear Ms. Longoria:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 197580.

The University of Texas at Austin (the "university") received a request for information relating to contracts for internet connectivity for facilities of the university. You state that the university takes no position with respect to the request. However, you indicate that release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. You state that two third party vendors, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Broadwing Telecommunications Inc., do not oppose release of the information at issue. You further state, however, that third party Qwest Communications Corporation ("Qwest") objects to release to portions of the requested information. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified Qwest of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, as you acknowledge, the university has not sought an open records decision from this office within the ten business day time period prescribed by section 552.301 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(a), (b). When a governmental body fails to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301, the information at issue is presumed public. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); *City of Houston v. Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co.*, 673 S.W.2d 316, 323 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The governmental body must show a compelling interest to withhold the

information to overcome this presumption. *See id.* Normally, a compelling interest exists when some other source of law makes the information confidential or when third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). As the interests of a third party may constitute a compelling reason to withhold the information in this instance, we will address the arguments submitted by Qwest.

Qwest argues that certain information in the contract at issue is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. We note that Qwest seeks to withhold certain information from a portion of the contract that the university has not submitted to this office for our review.¹ This ruling does not address the arguments submitted by Qwest pertaining to the portion of the contract that has not been submitted for our review by the university. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body seeking attorney general's opinion under Public Information Act must submit a copy or representative samples of the specific information requested). With respect to the information the university has submitted for our review, we will address Qwest's claimed exception.

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects: (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a). A "trade secret"

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

¹ Specifically, Qwest seeks to withhold information located on Page 11 of the contract, labeled "Qwest/Quilt Customer Tracking Form." The university has not submitted this portion of the contract to this office.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 232 (1979). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. Gov’t Code § 552.110(b); *see also National Parks & Conservation Ass’n v. Morton*, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974); Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999).

Upon review of Qwest’s arguments and the information at issue, we find that Qwest has made a *prima facie* case that the information at issue in the portions of the contract the university submitted for our review is protected as a trade secret. We have received no

arguments that would rebut this case as a matter of law. We therefore conclude that the university must withhold the information we have marked in the submitted documents pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 541 (1990) (although general terms of contract with governmental body are usually not excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110(b), portions of contract prescribing base cost adjustments and minimum requirements may in some instances be protected as trade secrets). Based on this finding, we do not reach Qwest's arguments under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

We note that the remaining submitted information is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are protected by copyright. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of materials protected by copyright, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, we have marked the information in the submitted documents that the university must withhold pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. The remainder of the submitted information must be released to the requestor. Information protected by copyright must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public

records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



David R. Saldivar
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 197580

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark A. Miller
126 Moore Hall
204 East 21st Street
Austin, Texas 78705
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steven W. Young
Qwest Legal Affairs
1801 California Street, Suite 900
Denver, Colorado 80202
(w/o enclosures)